

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/748,649	12/30/2003	Clayton Charles Troxell	18,951	6902
23556 75	590 08/01/2006		EXAMINER	
KIMBERLY-CLARK WORLDWIDE, INC. 401 NORTH LAKE STREET			HALPERN, MARK	
NEENAH, WI			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			1731	
			DATE MAIL ED: 08/01/2006	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			ſ
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/748,649	TROXELL ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mark Halpern	1731	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	h the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- tod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. Poply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) T 3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the condition of	his action is non-final. wance except for formal matte	·	
Disposition of Claims			
4)	from consideration. d/or election requirement. iner. accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	,	, ,	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreing a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents. * See the attached detailed Office action for a little copies. 	ents have been received. ents have been received in Apriority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A\ ☐ Intensions S	ummary (PTO-413)	
Notice of Neterences Cited (PTO-932) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/2005 ← 5 (6/04)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

DETAILED ACTION

1) Applicants' election without traverse of invention I and species of product of claim 1, in the reply filed on 7/13/2006, is acknowledged.

Claim 2 is withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Claims 18-21 are cancelled.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2) Claims 1, 3-17, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hermans (6,887,348).

The applied reference has 2 common inventors, Troxell, and Baum, with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e)

Application/Control Number: 10/748,649

Art Unit: 1731

might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Claims 1, 3-12: Hermans discloses a single web product made of pulp fibers produced by conventional wet lay paper making process. The product is a tissue. A softening agent chemical is applied to either or both sides of the tissue. After drying, the tissue is rolled onto reel 24 (col. 11, line 28 to col. 12, line 60). The tissue roll bulk is in the range of about 11.5 cc/g to greater than about 14 cc/g (col. 17, lines 24-34). The tissue fuzz-on-edge, obtained by shear calendering, is in the range of greater than about 1.7 mm/mm to greater than 3.5 mm/mm (col. 17, lines 14-24).

Claim 13: the chemical additive is polysiloxane (col. 12, lines 40-50).

Claim 14: the product Kershaw firmness is in the range of less than 7.8 mm to less than 7.0 mm (col. 17, lines 3-11).

Claims 15-16: the product Kawabata bending stiffness is disclosed in tables of Examples 1, 3 (cols.19-20).

Claim 17: Hermans discloses the product as per above.

In the event any differences can be shown for the product of the product-by-process claim 17, as opposed to the product taught by the reference Hermans, such differences would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art as a routine modification of the product in the absence of a showing of unexpected results; see also *In re Thorpe*, 227 USPQ 964 (Fed. Cir. 1985).

Application/Control Number: 10/748,649 Page 4

Art Unit: 1731

Conclusion

3) Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Halpern whose telephone number is 571-272-1190. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven Griffin can be reached on 571-272-1189. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit 1731